



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

08/087132

08/087,132 07/02/93 GREGORY

R IGI012CN

CARLSON EXAMINER

18M2/0628

MARK A. HOFER, ESQUIRE
GENEZYME CORPORATION, LEGAL DEPARTMENT
NO. 1 MOUNTAIN ROAD
FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1814

40

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

06/28/96

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rebecca Dugan (3) Carlson
(2) Elizabeth Lassone (4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 27 1996

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: pending

Identification of prior art discussed: Collins

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: KCC met with R. Schwartz (BPS) and R. Wax (SPE) in the A.M. to discuss the prosecution of '132. KCC telephoned EL with the results of that conversation. EL had not received the O.A. citing 102e & 103 over Collins and was unaware that Collins taught the claimed mutations and low copy vectors. Allowable subject matter was indicated for DNAs encoding CFTR having synthetic introns. RS & RW ask just that the 102e & 103 rejections over Collins were appropriate. However, KCC will renew all of Collins parent files for priority to insure the appropriateness of these rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.